



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,045	02/21/2007	Kouji Matsushima	I-4875-157US1 CI-A0308P-US	9112
26161	7590	03/21/2011	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. (BO)			SKELDING, ZACHARY S	
P.O. BOX 1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			1644	
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/21/2011		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/574,045	Applicant(s) MATSUSHIMA ET AL.
	Examiner ZACHARY SKELDING	Art Unit 1644

All Participants:(1) ZACHARY SKELDING.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(2) Ryan McQuaid.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2011**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Called applicant's representative, Mr. McQuade around February 2, 2011 and asked him to query applicant to see if they would be interested in making claim amendments to put the claims into condition for allowance. In particular, discussed with applicant's representative amending the claims via examiner's amendment to be limited to claims 34 and 35. However, after consultation with applicant, applicant's representative indicated that applicant would rather continue prosecution rather than amend the claims at this time.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Zachary Skelding/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)